



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,775	06/29/2001	Will H. Gardenswartz	209745US25XCONT	5962

22850 7590 07/14/2003

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

CHAMPAGNE, DONALD

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3622

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/893,775

Applicant(s)

GARDENSWARTZ ET AL.

Examiner

Donald L. Champagne

Art Unit

3622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 May 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 15-23, 29-37, 43 and 44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 15-23, 29-37, 43 and 44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 May 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1.5.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments filed with an amendment on 22 May 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments are addressed at para. 6 below.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-4, 15-18 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as anticipated by Biorge et al.
5. Biorge et al. teaches (independent claims 1 15 and 39) a method, a computer readable medium containing a computer program for executing the method, and a system for delivering *incentive credits*, which reads on targeted advertising, comprising: receiving from a first computer (*the portable device*) a first identifier (*encrypted signals*) identifying the first computer, and associated with an observed offline purchase history of a consumer, including purchase information collected when the purchase transpired, and electronically delivering the credits/targeted advertising to the consumer at the first computer in response to receiving the first identifier (col. 5 lines 2-3 and 23-29). The credits in the first computer are derived from and therefore associated with an observed offline purchase history of a consumer.

Art Unit: 3622

6. Biorge et al. also teaches that some offline purchases, which reads on said offline purchase, are not transacted with the first computer. A "purchase" is an exchange for money or its equivalent (Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary). The first computer is used to transact an offline purchase only when credits are available (on the first computer) and used to pay at least part of the purchase price. The reference teaches (col. 5 lines 29-33) that presently accrued credits are not applicable to present purchases. Hence, when the only credits available are presently accrued credits, the first computer is not used to transact the purchase.
7. Biorge et al. also teaches (independent claims 3, 17 and 31, and dependent claims 2, 4, 16, 18, 30 and 32) *generating* the first identifier (*encrypted signals*, col. 10 lines 22-26), where *encrypted signals* reads on a cookie.
8. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over Biorge et al. Biorge et al. does not teach the Internet. However, the reference does teach a communications network (col. 9 line 16) necessary to complete electronic delivery of the targeted advertisement/*incentive credits* to the consumer at the first computer. Because the Internet is efficient, economical and readily available for data transfers of this kind, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use the Internet as the communications network.
9. Claims 5-9, 19-23 and 33-37 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as anticipated by, or, in the alternative, under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over Biorge et al.
10. Biorge et al teaches (5, 19 and 33) receiving a second identifier (user code, col. 5 lines 5-10) corresponding to the consumer from the first computer. Biorge et al. also teaches that the consumer carries the first computer (*the portable device*) and enters the user code therein to validate the user (col. 5 lines 3-8), which reads on associating the first identifier with the consumer by linking the first identifier to the second identifier.
10. Biorge et al does not teach sending the first identifier to the first computer. However, since Biorge et al. teach the method claimed, under the principles of inherency (MPEP § 2112.02) the invention is considered to be anticipated in this regard by Biorge et al. As evidence tending to show inherency, it is noted that the first identifier must be placed within the first computer, even at the time of its manufacture, and that reads on sending the identifier to the computer.

Art Unit: 3622

11. Biorge et al also teaches (claims 6, 20 and 34) classifying the consumer by purchase behavior/history and selecting the credit/ targeted advertising based thereon (col. 5 lines 23-27). Biorge et al also teaches (claims 7, 21 and 35) that the targeted advertisement is a credit, which is an inherent incentive to change or continue an established purchase behavior.
12. Biorge et al. does not teach (claims 8, 22 and 36) that the behavioral pattern also includes purchasing the product (see para. 13 below) within a time period. Official Notice is taken (MPEP § 2144.03) that this limitation was common at the time of the invention. Incentives almost invariably have an expiration date. Because it would be difficult to account for incentives without expiration dates, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add a limited time period or expiry date to teaching of Biorge et al.
13. Biorge et al. teaches (claims 9, 23 and 37) presenting an award to the consumer in a retail store if the consumer complies with the behavioral pattern (col. 5 lines 32-33), where the behavioral pattern is defined by an amount of at least one specified product (col. 5 lines 57-59).
11. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as anticipated by, or, in the alternative, under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over Stewart.
12. Stewart teaches a method for delivering a targeted advertisement (title), comprising: receiving from a first computer (the *PDA*, col. 1 line 23) a first identifier (*identification code*, col. 4 lines 1-7) identifying the first computer, and associated with an observed offline purchase history of a consumer (col. 6 lines 60-67), including purchase information collected when the purchase transpired, and electronically delivering the targeted advertising to the consumer at the first computer in response to receiving the first identifier (col. 8 lines 51-52). The credits in the first computer are derived from and therefore associated with an observed offline purchase history of a consumer.
10. Stewart does not explicitly teach that said purchase was offline and not transacted with the first computer. However, since Stewart teaches the method claimed, under the principles of inherency (MPEP § 2112.02) the invention is considered to be anticipated in this regard by Stewart. As evidence tending to show inherency, it is noted that the reference teaches a host of suppliers and services (col. 6 lines 49-53), at least some of which are seldom, if ever,

Art Unit: 3622

purchased on-line (e.g. taxi services). Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to purchase at least some of these services off-line (e.g. taxi services) because these services are seldom available as required on-line. Since the purchase was not online, it could not have been transacted with the PDA first computer.

11. Stewart does not explicitly teach displaying the targeted ad on the first computer. However, this is inherent since the first computer is a PDA on which the vast bulk of received information is displayed.

### ***Conclusion***


17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
18. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 703-308-3331. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 5 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at [donald.champagne@uspto.gov](mailto:donald.champagne@uspto.gov), and *informal* fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 703-746-5536.
20. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular official communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final official communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

Art Unit: 3622

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.

21. **AFTER FINAL PRACTICE** – Consistent with MPEP § 706.07(f) and 713.09, prosecution generally ends with the final rejection. Examiner will grant an interview after final only when applicant presents compelling evidence that “disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration” (MPEP § 713.09). The burden is on applicant to demonstrate this requirement, preferably in no more than 25 words. Amendments are entered after final only when the amendments will clearly simplify issues, or put the case into condition for allowance, clearly and without additional search or more than nominal consideration.
22. Applicant may have after final arguments considered and amendments entered by filing an RCE as appropriate. It is the examiner’s practice to search the specification of RCE filings for allowable matter. However, unless indicated in this or a previous Office action, examiner cannot give assurances that filing an RCE will result in an indication of allowable matter.
23. **ABANDONMENT** – If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant’s intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office’s web site, [www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov). At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

DLC  
5 July 2003



ERIC W. STAMBER  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600